

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,898	09/23/2003	Joseph Cargnelli	9351-242	8642
1059	7590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER	
BERESKIN AND PARR 40 KING STREET WEST			ONEILL, KARIE AMBER	
BOX 401	KEEI WESI	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2			1746	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/667,898	CARGNELLI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
···		Karie O'Neill	1746			
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	CTATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 from the mailing date of this communication. specified above, the maximum statutory period whe set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing sustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	eptember 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action i	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this ap	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in ac	cordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	5					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-1	7 is/are pending in the application.		,			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6)	is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-1</u>	7 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specifica	ation is objected to by the Examine	er.				
•—	(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.			
	y not request that any objection to the					
Replacement	drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or o	declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119					
	ment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
· ·	ed copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2.☐ Certifi	ed copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	ion No			
3. Copie	s of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
applic	ation from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attac	hed detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	an	🗖				
 Notice of References Dotice of Draftsperso 	cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
	re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-9, drawn to a fuel cell system, classified in class 429, subclass 13.
- 11. Claims 10-17, drawn to a method of operating a fuel cell system, classified in class 429, subclass 22.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the fuel cell system of Group I can be used in a manner other than that claimed by Group II and does not require a second value representing a rate of change of the current load, changing the supply rate of the fluid and anticipating a change of the values.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Ian McMillan on March 10, 2006, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1746

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karie O'Neill whose telephone number is (571) 272-8614. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAO

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/667,898

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5